

JID: PRAS





Lymphaticovenular anastomosis in the treatment of secondary lymphoedema of the legs after cancer treatment

Georgina S.A. Phillips^a, Sinclair Gore^{b,c}, Alex Ramsden^{b,c}, Dominic Furniss^{b,c,*}

^a Oxford University Clinical Academic Graduate School, John Radcliffe Hospital, Oxford OX3 9DU, UK ^bPlastic and Reconstructive Surgery Department, Oxford University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, John Radcliffe Hospital, Oxford OX3 9DU, UK ^cOxford Lymphoedema Practice, Nuffield Health, The Manor Hospital, Beech Road, Oxford OX3 7RP, UK

Received 24 October 2018; accepted 10 March 2019 Available online xxx

Journal of Plastic, Reconstructive & Aesthetic Surgery (2019) 000, 1-9

KEYWORDS

Lymphoedema; Lymphaticovenular anastomosis; LVA; Surgical management lymphoedema; Supermicrosurgery **Summary** *Objective:* As survival from cancer continues to improve, greater importance is placed on quality of life after surgery. Lymphoedema is a common and disabling complication of cancer treatment. Lymphaticovenular anastomosis (LVA) is a supermicrosurgical treatment option for lower limb lymphoedema. The aim of this study was to assess the effectiveness of LVA in reducing limb volume and its effect on quality of life of patients with secondary leg lymphoedema following treatment for cancer, including gynaecological cancers.

Methods: Limb volume and patient rated quality of life were collected prospectively preoperatively and at every post-operative appointment in this case series. All patients presenting to the clinic with stable or progressive leg lymphoedema despite conservative therapy who were suitable candidates for LVA over a three-year period were included.

Results: Twenty-nine patients were treated with LVA, 19 for unilateral lymphoedema and 10 for bilateral. In unilateral cases median limb excess volume reduced from 27% to 16% post-operatively (p < 0.005) and in bilateral cases a median 8% reduction in absolute limb volume was achieved. Significant improvement in patient-reported quality of life was demonstrated, as measured by the LYMQOL: 23% improvement in unilateral and 14% improvement in bilateral patients (both p < 0.05).

* Corresponding author at: Oxford Lymphoedema Practice, Nuffield Health, The Manor Hospital, Beech Road, Oxford OX3 7RP, UK. *E-mail addresses:* dominic@olp.surgery, dominic.furniss@ndorms.ox.ac.uk (D. Furniss).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bjps.2019.03.013

1748-6815/© 2019 British Association of Plastic, Reconstructive and Aesthetic Surgeons. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Conclusions: In selected patients with early stage lymphoedema secondary to cancer treatment, LVA offers a minimally invasive surgical option that can achieve significant volumetric and quality of life improvements.

 \odot 2019 British Association of Plastic, Reconstructive and Aesthetic Surgeons. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Introduction

Gynecological cancers affect over 13,000 women in the UK each year.¹⁻³ Surgery and radiotherapy play an important role in treatment. As survival continues to improve¹⁻³ an increasing number of women are living with side effects of treatment and a greater emphasis is being placed on quality of life after cancer.

The lymphatics regulate the removal of fluid from the interstitium⁴, transporting lymph back into the venous system. Surgery and radiotherapy can damage the lymphatics and depending on the treatment modality and type of gynecological cancer up to 51%⁵ of women will develop secondary lymphoedema. Lymphoedema is caused by inadequate lymph return to the venous system and the subsequent accumulation of protein-rich exudate within subcutaneous tissue in the limb.⁶ Lymphoedema is a serious and progressive condition and without careful management causes functional impairment with significant effects on patients' quality of life. Patients report leg heaviness and discomfort, a reduced ability to work, to carry out normal daily activities, and reduced self-confidence. Patients are also at increased risk of recurrent cellulitis.^{5,7-9}

There is no cure for lymphoedema; it is a chronic progressive condition. Early diagnosis and therapy is important to control and slow progression. Complex decongestive physiotherapy is the current gold standard treatment consisting of a combination of manual lymphatic drainage, skin care and compression therapy.¹⁰ Although this may achieve adequate control, in the majority of patients it is labour-intensive, time-consuming and as a result patients struggle with compliance. In addition, in some patients lymphoedema will progress despite maximal conservative therapy.

Surgery is an option when conservative measures fail to achieve adequate volume control, and if implemented early in disease it may reduce or eliminate the need for continued conservative treatment. Surgical options include physiological reconstructive techniques such as lymphaticovenular anastomosis (LVA) and lymph node transfer, or reductive techniques such as debulking and liposuction.¹¹ LVA is a supermicrosurgical operation using high power operating microscopes to anastomose distal functioning lymphatic channels to small subdermal venules less than 0.8 mm in diameter, thereby creating a physiological bypass of damaged lymphatics. It can be performed under local anesthetic¹² making it an attractive treatment option for all patients, including the elderly or those with multiple co-morbidities.

The aim of this study was to assess the effectiveness of LVA in reducing limb volume and its effect on quality of life of patients with secondary leg lymphoedema following cancer treatment.

Patients and methods

Data was collected prospectively and at every postoperative appointment for all patients who underwent LVA to treat lymphoedema of the legs secondary to cancer treatment between November 2013 and November 2016. Demographics, quality of life and limb volume measurements were collected.

Patient selection

Patients are referred by their GP or specialist, or self-refer to our clinic. LVA was offered to patients with stable or worsening symptoms on maximal conservative therapy in whom Indocyanine Green Lymphography (ICG) confirmed the presence of lymphoedema or subclinical lymphoedema as demonstrated by specific patterns of dermal backflow.^{13,14} We offer LVA to patients fulfilling the above criteria with International Society Lymphoedema (ISL) Stage I-II disease. All patients who had secondary leg lymphoedema following cancer treatment who were candidates for and underwent LVA at our clinic and whom pre-and post-operative volumetric and quality of life data was available were included in the study. Pre-operatively patients are assessed to ensure adequate underlying distal lymphatic function, defined by us as transport of dye from a subcutaneous injection in the first web space of the foot to the level of the knee or more proximal within 45 min. Patients who did not fulfill our criteria were not offered LVA surgery and were excluded from the study.

Procedure

Pre-operatively lymphatic channels were marked using ICG lymphography (13). LVA was performed under local anesthetic. Two consultant plastic surgeons operated simultaneously on all patients, allowing two separate sets of anastomoses to be performed simultaneously, thereby limiting operative time. These results reflect the work of the three consultant microsurgeons working in our practice. All procedures were performed under the operating microscope from initial incision to skin closure. Four transverse incisions were made in the limb, guided by findings of linear lymphatic channels on ICG lymphography - typically in the upper thigh, lower thigh, proximal medial calf, and above the ankle. Lymphatics and veins were identified in the subcutaneous tissue, and anastomosed using 11/0 Ethilon® Nylon sutures (Ethicon Inc, US) (Figure 1). A typical procedure lasted four hours. Post-operatively patients were encouraged to elevate their legs and perform simple



LVA for leg lymphoedema after cancer treatment

Figure 1 Lymphaticovenular anastomosis involves anastomosing multiple functioning distal lymphatics to small subcutaneous venules in order to restore lymphatic flow. (a) Lymphatic Vessel containing patent blue dye, (b) Anastomosis with 11/0 Ethilon sutures, (c) Venule also contains patent blue, indicating a functioning anastomosis.

massage from distal to proximal towards the scars. Compression garments were re-started at seven days post-op, and a full return to normal activities was encouraged from four weeks. Outpatient follow-up was routinely undertaken at three, six and 12 months, however some patients opt out of extended follow-up as they travel long distances to our clinic. Post-operative data used is this study is that recorded at the most recent follow-up recorded for the patient.

Volume measurement

Limb volume was measured pre-operatively and at every post-operative appointment. To reduce inter-observer variability measurements were taken using a perometer.¹⁵ For patients with unilateral lymphoedema excess volume was calculated using the unaffected leg as a control. In patients with bilateral lymphoedema change in absolute limb volume from baseline for each limb was used as no "normal" limb is available for comparison.

Quality of life

Quality of life was measured pre-operatively and at every post-operative appointment using the Lymphoedema Quality of Life Questionnaire (LYMQOL). This is a validated tool for the assessment of the impact of lymphoedema on patients' quality of life, with specific questions to assess the impact of lymphoedema in terms of symptoms, appearance, function and mood.¹⁶ The maximum LYMQOL score is 114, with a higher score after intervention representing an improved quality of life at the time of measurement.

Statistics

We used simple descriptive statistics to analyse change in limb volume and quality of life following LVA surgery.

To compare pre- and post-operative scores we used the Wilcoxon test. In order to explore the relationship between improvement in volumetric measurement and improvement in LYMQOL score, we calculated the Pearson product moment correlation coefficient (r) between: (a) LYMQOL change and volume change for unilaterally affected patients and (b) between LYMOQOL change and mean volume change for bilaterally affected patients. The manuscript was prepared using the STROBE guidelines.

Results

Demographics

Our cohort consisted of 29 women, with an average age of 50 years (range 28-76). The underlying diagnosis was cervical cancer in 15, endometrial in four, melanoma in three, leiomyosarcoma in two and the remaining three patients had diagnosis' of ovarian cancer, synovial sarcoma and rhabdomyosarcoma. The initial cancer diagnosis was unknown in two (Table 1a/b). Twenty-six patients had lymphadenectomy, 14 radiotherapy and five chemotherapy. The mean time from cancer treatment to LVA was 10 years (range 1-33). Pre-operatively all patients wore compression garments, 21 used manual lymphatic drainage and 14 other conservative therapies. Nineteen patients had unilateral lower limb lymphoedema and ten had bilateral lymphoedema. Median follow up was eight months for unilateral and 10 months for bilateral patients. Quality of life and volumetric data is from the most recent post-operative appointment (Table 1).

Volume reduction

In unilateral cases, the median pre-operative excess volume was 27% (range 1.4-85%). Fifteen out of 19 patients showed reduced limb volume post-operatively (Figure 2a). The median post-operative excess was 16% (range -2.3-63%). The median relative percentage volume reduction was 26% (range -46.9-267.9, *p* < 0.005).

In bilateral cases, absolute volumetric improvement was seen in 19/20 limbs (Figure 2b). Post-operative median absolute percentage improvement in limb volume was 8% (range -3.4-23.1%).

Quality of life

The median pre-op LYMQOL was 72 (range 31-98). This improved to a median of 90 (range 43-108) post-operatively. The median improvement in quality of life was 19% (range -34.8-164.5, p < 0.005). Median improvement in patients with unilateral lymphoedema was 23% and bilateral 14%. Improved quality of life was seen in 24/29 patients.

Statistically significant improvements were seen in all domains of the LYMQOL post-operatively (p < 0.05) (Figure 3).



ARTICLE IN PRESS

[m6+;March 27, 2019;14:52] G.S.A. Phillips, S. Gore and A. Ramsden et al.





Figure 2 (a) Pre-and post-operative percentage excess volume of affected lower limb for patients with unilateral lymphoedema at the last available clinic visit. Each bar represents one patients pre- (blue) and post- (red) operative volumes. (b) Percentage reduction mean in absolute limb volume for patients with bilateral lymphoedema who underwent LVA. Each bar represents one patient.

ARTICLE IN PRESS

LVA for leg lymphoedema after cancer treatment

Table 1Patient demographics and pre- and post-operative volumetric and LYMQOL scores for patients with (a) unilateral and(b) bilateral lymphoedema.

Patient	Age	Primary Cancer	Delay to (years)	LVA Length follow-u (months	of Pre-op up excess s)	Post op excess	Pre-op LYMQOL	Post-op LYMQOL
(a)								
1	54	Cervical	16	12	42	19	58	93
2	54	Leiomyosarcoma	10	12	17	4	71	107
3	56	Endometrial	6	7	55	42	39	58
4	50	Melanoma	5	3	1	-2	70	104
5	47	Melanoma	2	8	12	16	72	88
6	50	Cervical	4	14	85	63	58	50
7	44	Cervical	5	30	20	12	31	82
8	68	Endometrial	6	6	51	46	74	91
9	35	Synovial Sarcoma	2	6	26	6	73	78
10	52	Ovarian	22	7	37	6	79	105
11	42	Melanoma	11	8	8	3	85	108
12	59	Endometrial	6	8	27	24	73	92
13	47	Cervical	2	3	8	12	66	43
14	37	Cervical	6	12	40	30	79	93
15	50	Endometrial	3	3	31	29	90	90
16	46	unknown	11	3	15	10	98	104
17	28	Cervical	3	9	51	39	77	90
18	59	Leiomyosarcoma	3	5	37	41	65	79
19	47	Rhabsomyosarcom	na 4	4	7	9	58	104
Patient	Age	Primary Cancer	Delay to LVA (years)	Length of follow-up (months)	Left improvement	Right improvement	Pre-op Lymqol	Post-op Lymqol
(b)								
1	68	Cervical	33	6	7.0	10.7	69	79
2	55	Cervical	17	12	2.8	7.0	92	100
3	35	Cervical	1	6	5.7	7.2	73	93
4	40	Cervical	2	8	9.1	2.2	68	84
5	58	Cervical	33	12	8.8	-3.4	85	81
6	41	Cervical	1	8	4.0	0.9	68	67
7	54	Cervical	19	11	11.4	10.2	70	80
8	50	Cervical	9	14	23.1	20.2	95	96
9	53	Cervical	9	9	12.4	8.9	57	68
10	76	unknown	26	10	15.4	6.5	85	98

Compression therapy

Of the 29 patients in this study, four (two unilateral, two bilateral) have discontinued compression therapy after LVA and three have reduced their use of compression garments.

Correlation between volumetric improvement and improvement in LYMQOL score

There was no correlation between volumetric improvement and improvement in quality of life as measured by LYMQOL (Pearson Correlation Coefficient < 0.3 for unilateral and bilateral patients) (Figure 4).

Complications

There were no surgical complications in this cohort of patients.

Discussion

Main findings

These results demonstrate that LVA, a minimally invasive operation performed under local anaesthetic, can significantly reduce limb volume and improve quality of life in cancer survivors with secondary lymphoedema of the legs. A



Figure 3 Quality of life before and after LVA surgery. Median LYMQOL score for each domain for patients with unilateral and bilateral lower limb lymphoedema combined. Maximum score varied per domain. All changes are significantly significant (p < 0.05). Blue bars are pre-operative scores and red post-operative.

reduction in limb volume was seen in 34 out of 39 treated limbs and improved quality of life was reported in 24 patients. Significant improvements were seen in patient's rating of limb function, symptoms, mood, appearance and overall quality of life post LVA. In addition, four of 29 patients were to discontinue compression while maintaining volumetric improvement. A further three patients were able to reduce use of compression therapy.

Strengths and limitations

Our results demonstrate the potential benefits in terms of significant improvements in limb volume and quality of life that can be achieved by LVA. LVA is a highly complex procedure requiring specialized training, as such it is not widely available in the UK and as a result this is a single centre study, reflecting the work of three microsurgical consultants. However, our volumetric outcomes are in line with the international literature.^{12,17,18}

Median patient follow-up was seven months for unilateral and 10 months for bilateral cases, post-operative data was taken from the most recent post-operative appointment (Table 1). Although we routinely see patients at three, six and 12 months patients, length of follow-up is dependent on patient's wishes and those that achieve both good or disappointing results may not perceive long-term follow-up appointments to be necessary.

Lymphoedema is a progressive disease¹⁹ characterized by lymphatic scarring, lymphatic vessel sclerosis and loss of smooth muscle cells.⁶ All patients included in this study had stable or worsening lymphoedema on maximal conservative therapy, with dermal backflow patterns demonstrating lymphoedema confirmed on ICG lymphography; without surgery we would expect their lymphoedema to remain static or progress and as such a control group is not included in this study. There were no changes to conservative therapies received by patients post-operatively, therefore any improvement in limb volume can be considered due to surgery. An increased limb volume was seen in a few of our patients, given the natural history of lymphoedema this is likely to be the result of lymphoedema progression despite surgery rather than surgery itself worsening symptoms.

Interpretation

LVA is technically demanding surgery, our results combined with the international literature demonstrate that this specialist surgery can significantly improve patients'

ARTICLE IN PRESS

LVA for leg lymphoedema after cancer treatment



Figure 4 Correlation between volumetric improvement post LVA and patient reported quality of life. (a) LYMQOL change and volume change for unilaterally affected patients. (b) LYMQOL change and mean volume change for bilaterally affected patients.

8

symptoms and limb volume.^{12,20} Boccardo et al. achieved significant volumetric improvement in 83% of patients treated with LVA with an average volume reduction of $67\%^{20}$, Koshima achieved volumetric reduction in 82.5% of patients with an average 41.8% reduction in excess volume.¹²

Cellulitis is a troublesome complication of chronic lymphoedema often requiring hospitalization.⁹ Although not measured in this study, our unit and others have reported a reduction of up to 87% in the incidence of cellulitis post LVA.^{9,20,21}

Interestingly, in our study volumetric improvement does not correlate with improvement in LYMQOL score. Some patients reported large improvements in quality of life with small volumetric improvements (Figure 4a/b). In our experience, many patients report a subjective improvement in limb discomfort and heaviness post-operatively despite not achieving a statistically significant improvement in limb volume, and we perceive this to be one of the main benefits of LVA in patients with low initial excess volumes. This subjective improvement was not directly addressed in this study but could account for high patient satisfaction despite small improvements in limb volume. Additionally, patients with high pre-operative excess volumes may experience less improvement in quality of life as even with significant volumetric improvement residual excess volume may continue to impact daily activities.

Within our practice, LVA is offered only to patients with early stage (I-II) lymphoedema, we feel treatment is most effective at this stage before lymphatic vessels become fibrotic and lose smooth muscle function.⁶ Volumetric improvement can be difficult to detect in early disease, and the greatest improvements in limb volume are typically seen in ISL Stage II-III disease.¹⁷ Boccardo et al. have successfully reduced the proportion of women developing arm lymphoedema from 30% to 4% by performing LVA at the time of axillary dissection.²² However, conservative therapies remain the gold-standard of care, and within our practice LVA is offered only to women with intrusive lymphoedema despite maximal conservative therapies, due to the morbidity associated with operating on patients without disease. Reductive techniques such as liposuction are the most appropriate treatment options for patients with more advanced disease and achieve greater volumetric reduction than that typically seen after LVA and are not reliant on the patency of lymphatic vessels.^{23,24} Mihara et al. recommend treatment options such as liposuction in patients where no lymphatic function is maintained, as patients must wear lifelong compression therapy 24 h a day.^{19,24} In contrast, LVA can offer the opportunity of freedom from compression garments.²⁰ Within our cohort 4/29 patients were able to discontinue compression therapy. Another series with 10 year follow-up reported 85% of patients are able to stop conservative therapies post-operatively.²⁰ Additionally, in patients with early stage disease LVA has other benefits over reductive methods: it is a physiological reconstruction with little risk of further damage to lymphatics, it can be performed under local anesthetic as a day case making it more accessible to patients with multiple co-morbidities, and it has a low complication rate.

Conclusion - practical and research recommendations

Our results suggest that LVA can offer both volumetric reduction and improvement in quality of life in selected patients with early stage lymphoedema secondary to cancer. Patients with early symptoms or signs of lymphoedema, or whose lymphoedema is progressing despite maximal conservative therapy, may benefit from surgical assessment as to their suitability for LVA. Further research should look at the longer term multi-centre outcomes of LVA.

Conflicts of interest

None.

Ethical approval

As this was a service evaluation, ethical approval was not required.

Funding

None.

Acknowledgments

The data in this manuscript has been presented at the British Lymphology Society Conference, Birmingham, October 2016.

References

- Cancer Research UK. Ovarian cancer statistics | Cancer Research UK (Internet). 2014 (cited 2017 Jun 15). Available from: http://www.cancerresearchuk.org/health-professional/ cancer-statistics/statistics-by-cancer-type/ovarian-cancer.
- Cancer Research UK. Vaginal cancer statistics | Cancer Research UK (Internet). 2014 (cited 2017 Jun 15). Available from: http://www.cancerresearchuk.org/health-professional/ cancer-statistics/statistics-by-cancer-type/vaginal-cancer.
- Cancer Research UK. Cervical cancer statistics | Cancer Research UK (Internet). 2014. (cited 2017 Jun 15). Available from: http://www.cancerresearchuk.org/health-professional/ cancer-statistics/statistics-by-cancer-type/cervical-cancer.
- Ellis S. Structure and function of the lymphatic system: an overview. Br J Community Nurs (Internet) 2006;11(Sup6):S4-5. (cited 2017 June 15)Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm. nih.gov/pubmed/16739286.
- Beesley V, Janda M, Eakin E, Obermair A, Battistutta D. Lymphedema after gynecological cancer treatment: prevalence, correlates, and supportive care needs. *Cancer (Internet)* 2007;109(12):2607-14. (cited 2017 June 5)Available from: http://doi.wiley.com/10.1002/cncr.22684.
- Nagase T, Gonda K, Inoue K, et al. Treatment of lymphedema with lymphaticovenular anastomoses. *Int J Clin Oncol (Internet)* 2005;10(5):304-10. (cited 2017 June 5)Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16247656.

LVA for leg lymphoedema after cancer treatment

- Tiwari P, Coriddi M, Salani R, Povoski SP. Breast and gynecologic cancer-related extremity lymphedema: a review of diagnostic modalities and management options. World J Surg Oncol (Internet) 2013;11(1):237. (cited 2017 June 5)Available from: http://wjso.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/ 1477-7819-11-237.
- Ryan M., Stainton M.C., Jaconelli C., Watts S., MacKenzie P., Mansberg T. The experience of lower limb lymphedema for women after treatment for gynecologic cancer. Oncol Nurs Forum (Internet). (cited 2016 June 14);30(3):417-23. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12719742.
- Mihara M, Hara H, Furniss D, et al. Lymphaticovenular anastomosis to prevent cellulitis associated with lymphoedema. *Br J Surg (Internet)* 2014;101(11):1391-6. (cited 2016 June 22)Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/ 25116167.
- Best practice for the management of lymphoedema. (cited 2017 June 15); Available from: http://www. woundsinternational.com/media/issues/210/files/ content 175.pdf.
- Cormier JN, Rourke L, Crosby M, Chang D, Armer J. The surgical treatment of lymphedema: a systematic review of the contemporary literature (2004-2010). Ann Surg Oncol (Internet) 2012;19(2):642-51. (cited 2017 June 5)Available from: http://www.springerlink.com/index/10.1245/s10434-011-2017-4.
- Koshima I, Nanba Y, Tsutsui T, Takahashi Y, Itoh S, Fujitsu M. Minimal invasive lymphaticovenular anastomosis under local anesthesia for leg lymphedema: is it effective for stage III and IV? Ann Plast Surg (Internet) 2004;53(3):261-6. (cited 2017 June 5)Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmed/15480014.
- Mihara M, Seki Y, Hara H, et al. Predictive lymphatic mapping: a method for mapping lymphatic channels in patients with advanced unilateral lymphedema using indocyanine green lymphography. Ann Plast Surg (Internet) 2014;72(6):706-10. (cited 2017 June 5)Available from: http://content.wkhealth.com/linkback/openurl?sid=WKPTLP: landingpage&an=00000637-201406000-00020.
- Akita S, Mitsukawa N, Kuriyama M, et al. Suitable therapy options for sub-clinical and early-stage lymphoedema patients. J Plast Reconstr Aesthet Surg (Internet) 2014;67(4):520-5. (cited 2017 June 5)Available from: http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S1748681513007389.
- 15. Sharkey AR, King SW, Kuo RY, Bickerton SB, Ramsden AJ, Furniss D. Measuring limb volume: accuracy and reliability of tape measurement versus perometer measurement. *Lymphat Res Biol (Internet)* 2017. (cited 2017 October 18th);lrb.2017.0039. Available from: http://online.liebertpub. com/doi/10.1089/lrb.2017.0039.

- Keeley V, Crooks S, Locke J, et al. A quality of life measure for limb lymphoedema (LYMQOL). J Lymphoedema (Internet) 2010;5(1):26-37. (cited 2016 July 21)Available from: http:// www.lymphormation.org/journal/content/0501.
- Mihara M, Hara H, Tange S, et al. Multisite lymphaticovenular bypass using supermicrosurgery technique for lymphedema management in lower lymphedema cases. *Plast Reconstr Surg* (*Internet*) 2016;**138**(1):262-72. (cited 2017 Dec 15)Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27348659.
- Tiwari P, Coriddi M, Salani R, et al. Breast and gynecologic cancer-related extremity lymphedema: a review of diagnostic modalities and management options. World J Surg Oncol (Internet). BioMed Central 2013;11(1):237. (cited 2016 June 14)Available from: http://wjso.biomedcentral.com/articles/ 10.1186/1477-7819-11-237.
- Mihara M, Hara H, Araki J, et al. Indocyanine green (ICG) lymphography is superior to lymphoscintigraphy for diagnostic imaging of early lymphedema of the upper limbs. *PLoS One (Internet). Public Library of Science* 2012;7(6):e38182. (cited 2016 July 22)Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih. gov/pubmed/22675520.
- Campisi C, Bellini C, Campisi C, Accogli S, Bonioli E, Boccardo F. Microsurgery for lymphedema: clinical research and long-term results. *Microsurgery (Internet)* 2010. (cited 2017 June 05);NA-NA. Available from: http://doi.wiley.com/10.1002/micr.20737.
- Mihara M, Hara H, Tange S. t in lower lymphedema cases. *Plast Reconstr Surg (Internet)* 2016;**138**(1):262-72. (cited 2017 Dec 20)Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27348659.
- Boccardo FM, Casabona F, Friedman D, et al. Surgical prevention of arm lymphedema after breast cancer treatment. Ann Surg Oncol (Internet) 2011;18(9):2500-5. (cited 2017 June 05)Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21369739.
- Leung N, Furniss D, Giele H. Modern surgical management of breast cancer therapy related upper limb and breast lymphoedema. *Maturitas (Internet)* 2015;80(4):384-90. (cited 2017 June 05)Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ pubmed/25747119.
- Damstra RJ, Voesten HGJM, Klinkert P, Brorson H. Circumferential suction-assisted lipectomy for lymphoedema after surgery for breast cancer. Br J Surg (Internet) 2009;96(8):859-64. (cited 2016 July 22)Available from: http://doi.wiley.com/10. 1002/bjs.6658.